



ECONOMIA MARCHE Journal of Applied Economics

Vol. XLIV, No.2, Ottobre 2025

University as a Digital Workplace: Implications for Teaching, Research, and Skills Development

L. Micic, *University of Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina*

Abstract

The rapid digital transformation of higher education has redefined the university as a digital workplace, reshaping its core missions of teaching, research, and skills development. This paper provides a literature-based analysis of how universities integrate digital tools, platforms, and processes into academic work, with a particular focus on their impact on pedagogical practices, research collaboration, and the cultivation of students' transversal competencies. Building on recent studies and international policy reports, the paper examines the interplay between digital infrastructures (e.g., learning management systems, collaborative research platforms, and knowledge management tools) and the organizational culture of universities. The findings suggest that digitalization not only enhances flexibility and efficiency in academic work but also poses challenges related to faculty readiness, digital skills gaps, and the risk of work intensification. By framing the university as a digital workplace, the paper highlights both opportunities and tensions that emerge from the integration of artificial intelligence, online collaboration, and hybrid teaching models. The analysis contributes to the ongoing debate on how higher education institutions can strategically navigate digital transformation to foster inclusive, adaptive, and skill-oriented learning environments.

JEL Classification: I 2 3, I 2 1, O 3 3, M 1 5, J 2 4

Keywords: *Digital transformation; University workplace; Higher education; Teaching and research; Skills development*

Affiliations and attributions

Ljubisa Micic, Faculty of Economics, University of Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina. E-mail: ljubisa.micic@ef.unibl.org

Institutional Review Board Statement:

This research is part of the 101120390 - USE IPM - HORIZON-WIDERA-2022-TALENTS-03-01 project, funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Executive Agency. Neither the European Union nor the European Research Executive Agency can be held responsible for them.

1. Introduction

The rapid digital transformation of higher education is redefining universities as digital workplaces, fundamentally altering how teaching, research, and skills development are carried out. In a digital workplace university, daily academic work is mediated by digital tools and platforms, from learning management systems for course delivery to cloud-based repositories for research data. This shift has been accelerated by external pressures such as the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced an emergency pivot to online operations and demonstrated the potential of technology to sustain and enhance university functions. As a result, many higher education institutions (HEIs) are now engaging in strategic efforts to integrate digital technologies into all aspects of their mission (Fernández et al., 2023). According to Alenezi (2023), the technological advances of the Fourth Industrial Revolution have penetrated HEIs, making digital transformation not just optional but “a fundamental and required procedure” for universities to remain competitive and relevant (Alenezi, 2023). In this context, digital transformation refers to an organizational change that goes beyond merely adopting new software—it encompasses rethinking processes, culture, and strategies to leverage technology for better outcomes (Hess et al., 2016, as cited in Alenezi, 2023). By framing the university as a digital workplace, we acknowledge that professors, students, and staff now teach, learn, and collaborate in an environment rich with digital infrastructure, akin to the modern tech-enabled corporate workplace.

This paper provides a literature-based analysis of how universities worldwide are navigating their evolution into digital workplaces. It examines the integration of digital tools, platforms, and processes into academic work, with particular focus on impacts to pedagogical practices, research collaboration, and the cultivation of students’ transversal competencies (broad, transferable skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and digital literacy). We draw on recent studies and international policy reports to highlight both the opportunities created by digitalization and the challenges and tensions that accompany this transformation. Key opportunities include increased flexibility in teaching and learning modalities, greater efficiency in research workflows, and new avenues for developing the digital and collaborative skills students need in the 21st-century economy. At the same time, significant challenges have emerged around faculty digital readiness, potential work intensification for academic staff, widening skills gaps, and the need for organizational cultures to adapt. By analyzing these issues, the paper contributes to current debates on how higher education institutions can strategically harness digital transformation to foster inclusive, adaptive, and skills-oriented learning environments.

Following this introduction, Section 2 provides a review of relevant literature and policy perspectives on the digital transformation of higher education and the concept of the university as a digital workplace.

Section 3 discusses the digital tools and infrastructures now embedded in university teaching, research, and skills development initiatives, illustrating the breadth of technologies involved. Section 4 examines the opportunities and benefits arising from this digitalization of academic work, while Section 5 addresses the challenges and risks that universities and their stakeholders face in the process. Section 6 offers a discussion on the organizational and policy implications of framing universities as digital workplaces, including strategies for addressing the identified challenges. Finally, Section 7 concludes with a summary of key findings and recommendations for universities to navigate digital transformation in a way that balances innovation with inclusion and well-being.

2. Literature Review: Digital Transformation in Higher Education

Digital transformation in higher education represents a fundamental institutional reconfiguration rather than incremental technological adoption. This distinction—between strategic transformation and piecemeal digitization—forms a critical tension in contemporary scholarship and reveals where many institutions fall short in their digital ambitions.

2.1. The Strategic Gap: From Isolated Initiatives to Integrated Transformation

Recent systematic evidence demonstrates a troubling disconnect between institutional rhetoric and practice. Fernández et al. (2023), analyzing 39 universities' digital initiatives through multivocal literature review, reveal that approximately 75% of institutions lack comprehensive digital strategies, instead implementing fragmented technological solutions without high-level integration. This finding challenges the prevalent narrative of widespread digital maturity in higher education and exposes what might be termed a "digital strategy deficit" — institutions recognize the imperative for change yet struggle to operationalize cohesive approaches that align technology with pedagogical and organizational objectives. The pattern of digitization priorities further illuminates institutional thinking. Teaching and learning quality improvement dominates digital transformation agendas, with online education programs, digital learning tools, advanced analytics, cloud computing, and artificial intelligence emerging as the most frequently implemented solutions (Fernández et al., 2023). While this student-facing emphasis reflects legitimate concerns about educational delivery and competitiveness, it also suggests a potentially narrow conceptualization of digital transformation—one that privileges visible pedagogical innovation over the deeper infrastructural and cultural changes required for comprehensive institutional transformation. Research operations and administrative processes, though increasingly digitized, remain secondary concerns in many institutions' strategic planning.

2.2. Reconceptualizing Academic Work: The University as Digital Workplace

The theoretical contribution of this paper centers on applying and extending the "digital workplace" framework to higher education contexts—a move that reframes how we understand contemporary academic labor and institutional organization. The concept of the university as a digital workplace represents more than metaphorical description; it functions as an analytical framework for examining how digitization reshapes the spatial, temporal, and relational dimensions of academic work. Traditional scholarship conceptualizes universities primarily as physical campuses—bounded spaces where teaching, research, and administration occur in designated locations at specified times. The digital workplace paradigm fundamentally challenges these spatial and temporal assumptions. Drawing on broader organizational theory, Micic and Mastilo (2022) define the digital workplace as an integrated platform of digital tools and services enabling employees to collaborate and perform tasks from anywhere, effectively

dissolving boundaries between physical and virtual workspaces. Applied to higher education, this framework illuminates how universities are becoming unbounded institutions where lecture delivery occurs through videoconferencing and capture systems, learning management unfolds in platforms like Moodle and Canvas, academic collaboration happens via cloud document sharing and research data management systems, and knowledge management operates through digital libraries and institutional repositories.

The original theoretical contribution here lies in recognizing that the digital workplace framework reveals three critical dimensions of academic transformation that conventional higher education literature often treats separately: (1) the spatial reconfiguration of academic work from campus-centered to distributed and hybrid; (2) the temporal restructuring from synchronous, schedule-bound activities to flexible, asynchronous engagement; and (3) the relational shift from hierarchical, place-based academic communities to networked, digitally-mediated scholarly collaborations. This integrated analytical lens enables more systematic examination of how digitization affects not just teaching delivery but the entire ecology of academic work.

2.3. The Cultural and Organizational Prerequisites for Digital Performance

Technology implementation alone proves insufficient for realizing digital workplace benefits—a conclusion with profound implications for institutional strategy. Koch and Fehlmann (2025) developed a model for university staff's digital performance that foregrounds institutional factors—leadership support, training opportunities, recognition mechanisms, and cultural norms—as pivotal determinants of whether faculty and staff effectively adopt digital tools. Their empirical findings challenge technological determinism: even tech-literate academics may resist or struggle with new systems when institutional environments discourage innovation, when workloads and incentives remain misaligned with digital expectations, or when professional recognition continues to privilege traditional academic outputs over digital innovation. This evidence converges with broader organizational research establishing that digital transformation necessitates parallel cultural transformation—cultivating openness to change, collaborative ethos, and continuous learning mindsets among employees (PwC, 2022; Micic & Mastilo, 2022). The conceptual synthesis emerging from this literature positions digital workplace transformation as a sociotechnical phenomenon requiring simultaneous attention to infrastructure, competencies, and institutional culture. Universities that approach digitization as purely technological investment without addressing cultural readiness and organizational support structures risk implementing systems that remain underutilized or generate resistance rather than enhancing academic performance.

2.4. Policy Imperatives and International Perspectives

International policy frameworks provide normative guidance and legitimacy for institutional digital transformation efforts. The European Commission's Digital Education Action Plan 2021–2027 explicitly calls for "resetting education and training for the digital age," urging member states and institutions to invest in robust digital infrastructure, develop digital skills among educators and learners, and implement high-quality online and hybrid learning methods (European Commission, 2020). This policy architecture reflects both pandemic-driven lessons about educational resilience and a forward-looking vision of technology-enhanced educational systems capable of responding to future disruptions. UNESCO and the United Nations adopt a more explicitly equity-focused stance. The 2022 Transforming Education Summit positioned digital transformation as a key thematic area while stressing human-centered approaches that leverage technology to increase inclusion and quality rather than exacerbate existing inequalities (United Nations, 2022). UNESCO's advocacy for open access digital learning resources and comprehensive teacher ICT training underscores that equitable access and adequate support constitute prerequisites for technology to genuinely enhance learning outcomes (UNESCO, 2023). The OECD's

Digital Education Outlook 2021 extends this conversation into frontier technologies, exploring how AI, robotics, and blockchain could "push the frontiers" of education (OECD, 2021). While identifying opportunities in AI-based personalized learning systems and efficient administration, the OECD simultaneously cautions that adoption requires careful governance and ethical frameworks (OECD, 2021). Synthesizing these policy perspectives reveals a shared emphasis on intentional, equity-conscious, and ethically-governed digital transformation—a normative framework against which institutional practices can be evaluated.

2.5 Critical Perspectives and Risks of Uncritical Digitization

Scholarly literature also documents resistance, challenges, and legitimate concerns about digital transformation trajectories. Selwyn (2020) and others critique uncritical "techno-optimism" in higher education, arguing that technology cannot resolve deeper pedagogical inequities and may introduce new forms of surveillance, commodification, or educational inequality. Studies report university staff concerns about top-down technology imposition and erosion of traditional academic autonomy and collegial norms (Koch & Fehlmann, 2025). A particularly salient risk involves "work intensification"—digital platforms potentially increasing expectations for constant availability and productivity, themes explored in detail in Section 5. This critical literature serves as an essential counterbalance, reminding us that the digital workplace framework must attend to potential pathologies: How might always-on connectivity undermine work-life boundaries? Could digital performance metrics introduce new forms of academic surveillance? Does platform-mediated collaboration risk displacing the informal, serendipitous interactions that have historically characterized academic communities?

2.6 Synthesis: Toward Strategic Digital Workplace Transformation

Current scholarship establishes that digital transformation in higher education is simultaneously inevitable and contingent—its outcomes depend critically on how institutions manage the change process. Recent literature advocates proactive institutional approaches: conducting digital maturity assessments (PwC, 2022), engaging stakeholders in participatory planning processes, and systematically aligning new tools with pedagogical objectives and competency development outcomes (Educause, 2022).

The literature review reveals that universities are evolving into digitally-driven workplaces, but success in this evolution demands integrated attention to technology, people (skills and culture), and processes. The "university as a digital workplace" framework proposed here synthesizes these dimensions, offering an analytical lens for examining how spatial, temporal, and relational reconfigurations of academic work create both opportunities and risks. The subsequent sections apply this framework to analyze specific aspects of digital transformation—organizational structures, teaching and learning innovations, and the complex dynamics of digital work intensification—demonstrating how this integrated perspective generates insights that fragmented, technology-centric approaches miss.

3. Digital Tools and Infrastructures in Teaching, Research, and Skills Development

Contemporary universities operate through interconnected digital infrastructures that fundamentally reshape teaching, research, and skills development. This section maps these technological ecosystems and their organizational implications.

3.1. Teaching and Learning Technologies

Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as Canvas, Moodle, and Blackboard constitute the digital core of university teaching, virtualizing classroom functions through content distribution, assignment management, discussion facilitation, and progress tracking. Integrated video conferencing platforms (Zoom, Microsoft Teams) enable hybrid delivery models that persist beyond pandemic-era necessity, while lecture capture, multimedia resources, and interactive simulations accommodate diverse learning styles and accessibility needs (Universities UK, 2024). Digital assessment tools—from online quizzes to AI-driven grading assistants and automated proctoring—streamline evaluation but introduce tensions around privacy and academic integrity. The infrastructure extends to Open Educational Resources (OER) repositories that transform educational content into sharable, adaptable knowledge bases, and learning analytics dashboards that enable data-driven interventions for struggling students. This ecosystem creates workflows that extend beyond physical classrooms, enabling asynchronous engagement and personalized support while generating new data streams that reshape instructor-student relationships (Alenezi, 2023).

3.2. Research and Collaboration Platforms

Research infrastructure digitalizes collaboration through platforms like Google Workspace, Microsoft 365, and discipline-specific systems such as the Open Science Framework, enabling geographically dispersed teams to coordinate in real time. Cloud computing services (AWS, Azure) and high-performance computing facilities provide remotely-accessible computational power for data-intensive research, while institutional repositories, research information management systems, and bibliographic tools (EndNote, Mendeley) streamline knowledge management and enhance discoverability of scholarly outputs. This infrastructure facilitates international research consortia that routinely conduct meetings, share data, and co-author papers entirely through digital channels—lowering barriers for scholars from diverse regions and supporting more inclusive scholarship (OECD, 2021). However, it simultaneously demands new competencies in virtual teamwork and tool proficiency that not all researchers possess equally.

3.3. Skills Development and Student Support Tools

Digital transformation reshapes skills development through MOOC platforms (Coursera, edX) that offer complementary certifications in high-demand competencies, and micro-credentialing systems issuing digital badges for specific skill achievements. AI-driven platforms assess and improve transversal competencies through adaptive exercises, while e-portfolios enable students to curate evidence of skill development over time. Crucially, these tools function simultaneously as learning aids and as vehicles for developing digital literacy through use—students collaborating via cloud documents practice teamwork, communication, and IT skills contextually. This positions the digital university as an authentic environment for acquiring transversal competences increasingly valued by employers: adaptability, collaboration, critical thinking, and digital fluency (Khaled Gijón et al., 2025). Virtual team projects with international peers, digital simulations for problem-based learning, and reflective e-portfolio curation embed these competencies throughout the curriculum.

3.4. Administrative and Support Systems

Back-end Enterprise Resource Planning systems and Student Information Systems digitalize records management, finance, human resources, and operations, enabling self-service portals for enrollment, grade submission, and administrative tasks. AI chatbots provide 24/7 support, while institutional dashboards supply leadership with analytics on retention, research output, and operational efficiency for data-informed decision-making. These interconnected infrastructures create both opportunities and dependencies. An instructor might leverage LMS analytics to identify student difficulties and arrange

virtual mentoring—actions enabled or simplified by digital systems. Yet each tool requires learning curves, workflow integration, and technical maintenance. The infrastructural complexity necessitates continuous adjustment, setting the stage for both pedagogical innovation (Section 4) and organizational challenges (Section 5).

4. Opportunities and Benefits of the Digital University

The integration of digital tools and platforms into higher education has unlocked numerous opportunities, enriching the teaching and research environment and better preparing students with relevant skills. This section highlights the key benefits associated with the university as a digital workplace, as reported in current research and practice. These opportunities span improvements in flexibility and accessibility, enhancements in pedagogical and research effectiveness, and the fostering of valuable competencies for students and staff alike.

4.1. Flexibility and Accessibility in Teaching

One of the most widely recognized benefits of digital transformation in universities is the greatly increased flexibility in teaching and learning. Online and hybrid course offerings allow students to access education regardless of their location or time zone, breaking down the geographical and temporal barriers of traditional classroom education. According to a Universities UK (2024) report, universities expanded online provision partly because students want greater flexibility, and this expansion can improve access to higher education for non-traditional learners, such as working adults or those with family obligations (Universities UK, 2024). Even for full-time on-campus students, blended learning models (combining in-person sessions with online components) provide the flexibility to review recorded lectures, participate in discussions asynchronously, or complete assignments on schedules that accommodate personal needs. Such flexibility tends to improve student satisfaction and can lead to better learning outcomes when managed well (Universities UK, 2024). Furthermore, digital learning tools often come with features that enhance accessibility for students with disabilities. For example, lecture videos can have captions or transcripts for hearing-impaired students, and screen reader compatibility ensures visually impaired students can navigate course materials. In this way, digitalization pushes universities toward more inclusive pedagogy, aligning with the goal of widening participation in higher education. The flexibility afforded by digital tools also benefits faculty. Instructors can teach classes or advise students remotely, which in some cases enables institutions to draw on a broader pool of adjunct experts or to offer courses jointly across campuses. It also introduces flexibility in pedagogical approaches; educators can experiment with flipped classrooms (where students watch lectures online and do interactive work in class), project-based learning involving digital media creation, or inviting guest speakers via video conference to enrich the curriculum. In essence, digital technology expands the repertoire of teaching strategies and resources available, often with positive results on student engagement. Studies have found that leveraging multimedia and interactive content online can increase student engagement and motivation, as compared to traditional lectures (PwC, 2022). The PwC (2022) higher education framework notes that technology offers unprecedented opportunities to reimagine pedagogy by personalizing learning and making it more relevant to real-world skills. For instance, business simulation games or virtual labs can allow students to apply theoretical knowledge in simulated environments, thus deepening their understanding through practice.

4.2. Enhanced Collaboration and Efficiency in Research

The digital workplace paradigm significantly enhances research collaboration and efficiency. With digital communication and data-sharing platforms, researchers are not constrained by physical proximity when working together. International research teams can function almost as seamlessly as local teams, enabling a cross-pollination of ideas on a global scale. This global connectivity broadens the perspectives in research projects and accelerates scientific progress; for example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, open databases and collaborative platforms allowed researchers worldwide to rapidly share findings on the virus and potential treatments. Collaboration tools also make interdisciplinary research easier to manage, as scholars from different departments can coordinate through shared online workspaces. Additionally, the ability to access digital libraries and literature databases instantly speeds up the research process – scholars can find and retrieve academic papers or datasets within minutes, a dramatic improvement in efficiency over pre-digital workflows. Digital research infrastructures bring efficiency gains through automation of routine tasks as well. Consider data analysis: tasks that once took weeks of manual work can now be done in hours using statistical software or AI algorithms. Repetitive lab procedures might be carried out or assisted by digital instruments and robots, freeing researchers to focus on design and interpretation. In the humanities and social sciences, qualitative data analysis software and digital archives enable faster coding of texts or analysis of historical documents. Administrative efficiency is also improved; research project management systems help track progress, finances, and compliance, reducing bureaucratic overhead. All these factors allow researchers to be more productive and focus on high-value creative and analytical aspects of their work. The open science movement is another beneficiary of digital transformation: researchers can easily share their work publicly (with preprint servers, open journals, and data repositories), increasing the transparency and impact of their work. This aligns with policies of agencies that encourage openness and collaboration to enhance innovation. As a result, a digitally enabled research culture can be more dynamic, collaborative, and impactful than the traditional siloed model. It also potentially democratizes research participation – for example, a scholar at a resource-constrained university can access cloud computing resources or international datasets that put them on a more equal footing with those at wealthier institutions (OECD, 2021). The OECD (2021) highlights that emerging technologies like AI can further augment research by handling large data volumes and identifying patterns humans might miss, thus opening new frontiers of inquiry in fields from medicine to social science.

4.3. Skill Development and Transversal Competencies

A critical opportunity of the digital university is the enhanced development of transversal competencies in students. By working within a digital environment, students inherently practice and acquire digital literacy skills – they become adept at using online communication tools, navigating information, and creating content with digital media. These skills are directly transferable to the modern workplace, where digital fluency is a baseline expectation for graduates. Moreover, many digital classroom activities are designed to cultivate higher-order skills like critical thinking and collaboration. For example, participating in an online forum discussion requires students to articulate their thoughts clearly and respond to peers' perspectives, thereby developing communication and critical engagement abilities. Group projects using collaborative software mirror the remote teamwork common in many industries, giving students practice in coordinating tasks, dividing responsibilities, and integrating work virtually. Thus, the process of learning in a digital format doubles as training for professional collaboration in a digital economy. Universities are increasingly intentional about leveraging technology to bolster such skills. Personalized learning systems use AI to adapt to student needs, which can train students in self-regulation and continuous learning habits—if a system identifies weaknesses in certain knowledge areas and provides extra exercises, the student learns to take initiative and utilize resources to improve, fostering the skill of learning-to-learn. Gamified learning apps can improve problem-solving by presenting challenges that

students must strategize to overcome. Additionally, digital portfolios encourage reflective learning and creativity, as students must curate and present their best work and often incorporate multimedia (text, video, code, etc.), learning how to communicate their skills effectively. Khaled Gijón et al. (2025) point out that competences such as flexibility, collaboration, and critical thinking are now recognized as key outcomes of higher education, on par with domain-specific knowledge. A well-integrated digital curriculum can strengthen these outcomes by allowing students to experience varied modes of learning and problem-solving. For example, flexibility is learned as students switch between modalities (in-person vs. online), adapt to new software tools during their courses, or handle the self-discipline required by online learning. Collaboration is embedded in activities like virtual team projects or peer-reviews done on shared documents. Critical thinking and information literacy are sharpened as students navigate the vast information online; they must learn to evaluate sources, synthesize insights from digital libraries, and even be critical of the algorithms that present information to them. Many universities also use digital simulations and case studies where students must analyze scenarios and make decisions, closely mirroring real-world professional challenges (PwC, 2022). In summary, the digital workplace university offers a rich training ground for students to develop a suite of transversal skills that are highly valued in today's knowledge society.

4.4. Enhanced Student Support and Analytics

Another opportunity from digitalization is the ability to support students more proactively through learning analytics and online student services. By analyzing data from LMS engagement, library usage, and other digital footprints, advisors can identify students who might be at risk of falling behind or dropping out, often before traditional assessments would catch these issues. This allows for early interventions – for instance, reaching out to a student who hasn't logged into the course site for a couple of weeks, or offering tutoring to one who performed poorly on early quizzes. In effect, technology can enable a more personalized and timely support system, contributing to improved student retention and success. Some universities have implemented AI-based advisory chatbots that can answer common student questions at any hour (e.g., about registration deadlines or campus resources), making support more accessible. While these are not a replacement for human advisors, they handle routine queries and free up staff time for more complex student needs. The digital environment thus can enhance the overall student experience, making university processes smoother and providing help when and where students need it.

4.5. Efficiency and Sustainability

Finally, it's worth noting that digital transformation can contribute to operational efficiency and even environmental sustainability. Reducing reliance on paper through digital submissions and e-forms saves resources and time. Virtual meetings and conferences reduce travel needs, which not only cuts costs but also the carbon footprint of academic activities. Universities, as workplaces, become more agile—for example, faculty can engage in meetings or professional development webinars online without needing to commute, allowing them to balance their schedules better. In administrative terms, processes that once required multiple in-person signatures can be done via e-signature in a single day. These efficiencies allow the university to redirect effort and funding towards its core missions of teaching and research. Although sometimes overlooked in academic discussions, these pragmatic benefits are part of the reason university leadership often pursues digitization: to do more with the same or fewer resources, and to modernize operations in line with societal shifts towards digital services. In sum, the transformation of universities into digital workplaces offers considerable positive potential. It can make higher education more accessible, student-centered, collaborative, and aligned with the skill demands of contemporary society. The extent to which these benefits are realized, however, depends on how well institutions manage the

transition and support all participants in the new digital environment. The next section will turn to the flip side of the coin – the challenges and risks that need to be addressed to fully capitalize on these opportunities.

5. Challenges and Risks in the Digital Transformation of Universities

The digital workplace transition generates significant challenges spanning human factors, organizational constraints, and technology-inherent risks. This section examines these obstacles as identified in current research, focusing on implications for sustainable and equitable digital adoption.

5.1. Digital Readiness and Skills Gaps

Faculty digital readiness varies substantially, with subject-matter expertise not guaranteeing pedagogical technology proficiency. Koch and Fehlmann (2025) demonstrate that digital literacy alone "doesn't guarantee digital performance"—attitude, institutional support, and confidence prove equally critical. Academics resist new tools when facing time scarcity for learning, skepticism about pedagogical value, fear of failure, or concerns about disrupting established routines and academic autonomy (Koch & Fehlmann, 2025). Universities face additional constraints in attracting technical talent—IT departments compete with private-sector salaries for cybersecurity analysts and system architects, resulting in understaffed support teams (Bonderud, 2020). Advanced digital pedagogies (data science education, VR-based learning) require specialized faculty expertise that institutions struggle to recruit or develop internally. Student skill gaps compound these challenges. The "digital native" assumption obscures uneven competencies—students proficient in social media may lack spreadsheet or database skills essential for academic success. Without digital literacy development in onboarding programs, socio-economic background correlates with technology exposure become mechanisms for widening educational inequality (Khaled Gijón et al., 2025). Inclusive transformation strategies must systematically address competency building for both staff and students.

5.2. Cultural Resistance and Organizational Inertia

Cultural transformation proves as demanding as technical implementation. Established universities maintain deeply embedded traditions that digital systems can disrupt, challenging faculty identity and control. Academics may perceive algorithmic tools (automated grading, AI tutoring) as threats to educational quality or professional roles, exercising critical scrutiny that sometimes reveals legitimate pedagogical concerns. Mandated system adoptions without faculty buy-in risk box-ticking compliance rather than genuine integration. Organizational cultures valuing academic freedom may resist standardization requirements, viewing centralized platforms as imposing uniformity on teaching or enabling surveillance of faculty work (Henderson et al., 2017). Learning analytics deployed without transparency can be interpreted as monitoring tools undermining professional judgment. Effective implementation requires involving faculty in strategy design, communicating rationale transparently, and respecting disciplinary autonomy within coordinated frameworks.

5.3. Work Intensification and Well-being Erosion

Digital workplace connectivity creates "always on" environments where boundaries between professional and personal life deteriorate. Faculty face expectations for late-night student response, continuous content updates, and perpetual availability through email and messaging platforms, risking stress and

burnout. Koch and Fehlmann (2025) document the "balancing act of anticipating future efficiency against investing time and resources"—short-term learning demands compound existing workloads before efficiency gains materialize. Converting courses for online delivery requires substantial upfront redesign effort that often receives inadequate workload recognition or support. Digital systems generate proliferating data streams and tasks requiring attention—student emails replacing quick post-class conversations, discussion forums demanding moderation, analytics dashboards requiring review. Without workflow streamlining, administrative burden increases through cumbersome system navigation (Koch & Fehlmann, 2025). Students similarly experience intensification through expectations of constant engagement with discussion boards and incremental online tasks, creating stress particularly for those managing other responsibilities. Institutional guidelines limiting expectations (weekend email policies, designated connection-free hours) remain nascent, with digital well-being receiving insufficient strategic attention.

5.4. Digital Divides and Inequity Amplification

Uneven technology access can deepen existing disparities. Pandemic-era remote learning exposed students and faculty with limited bandwidth, outdated devices, or inadequate study spaces facing severe disadvantages. Globally, approximately 50% of learners lack household computers, with significant fractions lacking reliable home internet (UNESCO, 2023). Within affluent nations, socio-economic disparities mean some students depend on smartphones and cellular data for coursework unsuited to such platforms. Accessibility for students with disabilities requires digital content meeting standards (alt text, keyboard navigation) that platforms may not satisfy by default, potentially hindering learning until corrections occur. Pedagogically, rapid digital shifts can disadvantage students who thrive in traditional formats or lack self-regulated learning skills essential for online success. Maintaining inclusivity demands conscious investment in laptop programs, internet access support, accessibility auditing, and digital literacy instruction accompanying platform rollouts—not merely technology deployment.

5.5. Quality Assurance and Pedagogical Rigor

Technology presence does not ensure educational effectiveness. Poorly designed online courses produce disengagement and inferior outcomes relative to face-to-face instruction. Hastily transitioned pandemic-era courses often consisted of slide PDFs and passive video lectures with minimal interaction, generating student dissatisfaction and learning deficits. Quality requires instructional design expertise and pedagogical models tailored to digital contexts, not merely content migration to new platforms. In research, digital abundance risks encouraging superficial engagement—quick searches and AI-generated summaries replacing thorough critical analysis (Selwyn, 2020). Online information proliferation necessitates teaching source credibility assessment to counter misinformation, while copy-paste ease increases academic integrity risks requiring strengthened honor codes, plagiarism detection, and ethics education.

5.6. Privacy, Security, and Trust

Digital operations involve massive data handling—student and staff personal information, research data, intellectual property—expanding attack surfaces for cyber threats. Higher education institutions face data breaches and ransomware attacks that disrupt operations and compromise privacy. Securing decentralized systems proves challenging given budget constraints and departmental IT autonomy creating uneven security practices (Bonderud, 2020). Learning analytics and proctoring tools raise privacy objections regarding detailed behavioral data collection and surveillance-like monitoring. GDPR compliance and responsible data stewardship prove essential for maintaining stakeholder trust. Any data mishandling

erodes confidence in digital initiatives, yet achieving robust cybersecurity (encryption, multi-factor authentication, regular audits) while balancing transparency demands ongoing investment and attention.

5.7. Implementation of Complexity and Sustainability

Practical challenges include substantial upfront costs for licenses and infrastructure, system integration complexities (LMS, student information, library systems interoperability), technical debt from outdated systems, and disruptive transition processes during migrations. Training coordination consumes significant staff time, while continuous adaptation to evolving technology requires ongoing investment rather than one-time project completion. Digital transformation demands interdisciplinary collaboration between IT specialists, faculty, and administrators. Misalignment between these groups—IT implementing tools without understanding academic needs, or faculty proposing solutions without grasping technical constraints—slows progress. Achieving shared vision and effective project management within large, decentralized university environments proves perpetually challenging. These multifaceted obstacles—spanning human, cultural, equity, quality, security, and logistical dimensions—do not negate digital transformation benefits but underscore that realizing them requires strategic planning addressing pain points systematically. Section 6 explores approaches for navigating these complexities to maximize positive outcomes while minimizing adverse consequences.

6. Discussion: Navigating the University's Digital Transformation

The analysis of opportunities and challenges above suggests that while digital transformation holds great promise for higher education, it must be approached deliberately and strategically. In this section, we discuss the implications of framing the university as a digital workplace and propose ways to navigate the tensions identified. The discussion is informed by international policy guidance and best practices emerging from the sector. Key areas of focus include organizational change management, policy and leadership, capacity building for staff and students, and maintaining a balanced, human-centered approach to digital integration.

6.1. Aligning Digital Transformation with Pedagogy and Mission

First and foremost, it is crucial that technology adoption in universities be guided by pedagogical goals and the institution's broader educational mission, rather than by technology for technology's sake. This means that any new digital tool or platform should be evaluated in terms of how it improves teaching effectiveness, research capabilities, or student skill development. A recurrent recommendation in the literature is to start with a clear digital strategy (Fernández et al., 2023). As found by Fernández et al. (2023), only a quarter of universities in their sample had an integrated digital plan, but those that did were better positioned to reap strategic benefits. Such a strategy should ideally articulate: (a) the desired outcomes (e.g., more flexible learning pathways, improved graduation rates, stronger research collaborations), (b) the technologies and processes needed to achieve them, and (c) how these will be implemented and assessed. Aligning tech initiatives with the institution's academic priorities ensures that digital transformation supports, rather than distracts from, core values like quality teaching and inclusivity. One practical approach is involving academics and students in the planning process through committees or focus groups. This participatory approach can surface pedagogical needs that technology might address (for example, a science faculty might highlight the need for a virtual lab platform to allow more lab time for students) and can also increase buy-in by giving stakeholders a voice. PwC (2022) emphasizes the

importance of reimagining operational models with both student and staff experience in mind – essentially, designing the digital workplace not just for efficiency, but for enhancing learning and work satisfaction. The “Education Transformation Framework” outlined by PwC consultants suggests focusing on four pillars: student success, teaching & learning, academic research, and campus operations (PwC, 2022). Such a holistic framework ensures that improvements in one area (say, teaching technology) are complemented by changes in others (like professional development for staff, or upgrading research IT infrastructure), creating synergy and a coherent environment.

6.2. Building Digital Capacity and Support

Addressing the faculty and student skill gap challenge requires a concerted capacity-building effort. This includes robust training programs, continuous professional development opportunities, and easily accessible support services. Many universities have established teaching innovation centers or digital education units responsible for helping faculty integrate technology effectively. These centers provide workshops on online course design, one-on-one consultations, and create repositories of tips and tutorials. Importantly, training should not be a one-off during tool rollout; it must be ongoing, as both technologies and pedagogical methods evolve. A mentoring approach can also be beneficial: digitally savvy instructors (early adopters) can mentor colleagues in their department, creating a culture of peer learning. Recognizing and rewarding faculty who innovate in teaching with technology can also motivate others. Some institutions include contributions to digital pedagogy in promotion criteria or offer teaching awards for innovative use of technology, signaling that the institution values these efforts. For students, digital literacy should be integrated into the curriculum, especially early in their programs. Orientation sessions might include modules on using the LMS, collaborating online, and digital citizenship (responsible and effective behavior online). Many universities now ensure that first-year courses incorporate assignments that build information literacy and basic tech skills. When new systems are introduced (like a new collaboration platform or e-portfolio), providing tutorials or even a short practice assignment to familiarize students can mitigate confusion later. Tech support should also be student-friendly – possibly including student tech mentors or a help desk that operates during evenings when students do much of their work.

6.3. Fostering a Supportive Digital Culture

Overcoming resistance and ensuring well-being involves cultural change and policy adjustments. Leadership has a role in articulating a vision for digital transformation that resonates with academic values – for example, emphasizing how technology can free up time for professors to focus on mentorship, or how it can facilitate more inclusive teaching, rather than portraying it as cost-cutting or mere modernization. Framing is important: if faculty see the change as enhancing their work rather than commodifying it, they are more likely to embrace it. Universities should also involve faculty in choosing and piloting new technologies. A common best practice is running pilot programs where a small group tries out a tool in their classes and provides feedback before broader adoption. This not only irons out issues but also creates a set of faculty champions who can demonstrate success to peers. To tackle work intensification and well-being, institutions can develop explicit guidelines around digital workloads. For instance, setting norms that responses to emails are not expected immediately outside of working hours, or limiting the frequency of assignment deadlines in fully online courses to avoid student overload. Academic leaders could encourage faculty to use tools like email scheduling (to avoid sending messages at midnight) and to be transparent with students about when they are available online. At the same time, hiring additional support staff (such as instructional designers or teaching assistants) for large online courses can distribute the workload of managing digital interactions. Many universities learned during the pandemic to staff virtual help rooms or hotlines for both faculty and students; continuing such practices

can alleviate the pressure on individual faculty to solve every technical or usage problem that arises in their classes.

6.4. Ensuring Equity and Inclusion

To uphold equity, universities must proactively identify and assist those at risk of being left behind in the digital environment. This involves regular surveys or assessments of student technology access, and providing necessary resources (loaner laptops, campus Wi-Fi extensions, etc.). Collaborations with governments or private donors could expand infrastructure to rural students or provide discounted internet plans – some countries initiated such programs in 2020–2021, which could be models to build on. Additionally, inclusive design of digital content must be standard. Universities should adopt accessibility guidelines (like WCAG standards) for all online materials and test new software for accessibility compliance. Offering content in multiple formats (video, text, audio) can also cater to different needs and preferences. Another aspect of inclusion is maintaining human connections and campus community in a digital or hybrid setting. It's important that students still feel a sense of belonging. Virtual campus events, online student clubs, and digital platforms for social interaction (like moderated discussion boards not tied to a specific class) can help maintain a vibrant community. However, these should complement, not replace, in-person opportunities whenever possible. An inclusive digital transformation recognizes that fully online is not ideal for everyone; giving students options (as the UUK survey indicated, 66% of students prefer a blend of in-person and online teaching) might be the best path. Thus, universities could strive for flexible hybrid models where students can engage digitally when it suits their learning style or life situation, but also come to campus for experiences that benefit from face-to-face interaction.

6.5. Policy and Governance

At the governance level, clear policies must guide data use, privacy, and security in the digital university. Students and staff should be informed about what data is collected (for learning analytics or other purposes) and how it is used. Transparency helps build trust. Policies should also define acceptable behavior online, addressing issues like cyberbullying or academic misconduct in virtual settings. On security, universities might need to allocate more budget and attention to cybersecurity measures. This could include ongoing training on phishing awareness (since human error is often the weakest link in security), and partnerships with cybersecurity firms or use of security audit tools to continuously monitor for threats. With the rising prevalence of ransomware attacks, having robust data backup strategies and incident response plans is imperative. It might also be beneficial for higher education institutions to collaborate via sector consortiums to share information on security threats and best practices (some countries have NRENs – National Research and Education Networks – that assist with secure infrastructure for universities). Leadership should also consider ethical implications of emerging technologies. For example, if employing AI in any decision-making (like admissions or grading assistance), oversight mechanisms should be in place to prevent bias and errors from causing harm. Forming ethics committees or task forces when introducing AI tools (e.g., an AI chatbot that helps with tutoring) can ensure diverse viewpoints (including ethicists, student reps, etc.) are considered. This preempts potential controversies and aligns with the human-centered approach advocated by UNESCO and others – essentially, that technology in education should augment human capabilities and rights, not diminish them (UNESCO, 2023).

6.6. Continuous Evaluation and Improvement

Finally, it's vital to treat digital transformation as an iterative process. Universities should continuously evaluate the impact of digital tools on teaching outcomes, research productivity, and user satisfaction.

Collecting data such as student performance in online vs. face-to-face sections, or surveying faculty about the usefulness of a new system, can provide insight into what's working and what isn't. Based on evidence, adjustments can be made – perhaps more training is needed in one area, or maybe a particular tool isn't delivering expected benefits and should be replaced with an alternative. Flexibility in strategy is important; technology and needs evolve, so the institutional approach must as well. The COVID-19 experience taught many institutions to be agile. That agility – being able to pivot between modalities, to scale up support quickly, etc. – should be nurtured as a permanent institutional capability. The discussion above underscores that the digital transformation of universities is not just a technical upgrade but a socio-technical transition. Success depends on aligning people, culture, and processes with technological advancements. When universities are treated as digital workplaces, leadership must act akin to change managers in any large organization facing digital disruption: communicate a clear vision, invest in people, manage risks, and remain customer (student) focused. By doing so, higher education can harness digital technology to enhance learning and research while preserving the core values of academia.

7. Conclusion

Higher education is undergoing a profound transformation as universities embrace their identity as digital workplaces. This paper has explored how the integration of digital tools, platforms, and processes is reshaping the core academic missions of teaching, research, and skills development. The literature and examples reviewed demonstrate that digitalization brings considerable benefits: greater flexibility and accessibility in education, new efficiencies and collaborative potential in research, and enriched opportunities for developing the transversal competencies students need in a digital economy. By leveraging learning management systems, collaborative research platforms, data analytics, and other digital infrastructures, universities can create more adaptive, inclusive, and student-centered learning environments than ever before. The rapid advancements in artificial intelligence, online collaboration tools, and hybrid learning models hold promise to further enhance pedagogical innovation and academic productivity, pushing the boundaries of when, where, and how learning and discovery occur (OECD, 2021; PwC, 2022). At the same time, this paper has highlighted that these opportunities come with significant challenges. Issues of faculty digital readiness, digital skills gaps, and resistance to change must be addressed through comprehensive professional development and change management strategies. The risk of work intensification and burnout is real – the “always-on” digital workplace can blur boundaries and increase stress for faculty, staff, and students alike (Koch & Fehlmann, 2025). Ensuring well-being and sustainable workloads in a hybrid environment will require conscious policy decisions and cultural shifts within institutions. Furthermore, the digital divide and potential inequities in access mean that universities must implement deliberate measures to support all members of their community, so that digital transformation does not inadvertently widen gaps in achievement or opportunity (European Commission, 2020; UNESCO, 2023). Concerns about maintaining educational quality, academic integrity, and data privacy in an era of pervasive technology are also paramount. These challenges are not insurmountable, but they require that universities approach digital transformation in a strategic, inclusive, and ethical manner. The implications for policy and practice are clear. University leaders and policymakers should craft and implement digital strategies that align with educational objectives and uphold principles of equity and academic freedom. Investment in infrastructure must go hand-in-hand with investment in people – building the digital competencies of educators and students, and fostering a supportive institutional culture for innovation. International policy frameworks, such as the EU's Digital Education Action Plan and OECD guidelines, provide valuable roadmaps emphasizing infrastructure, training, and

quality standards (European Commission, 2020; OECD, 2021). Universities would benefit from sharing best practices and collaborating in this journey, as many are facing similar hurdles. Faculty involvement in decision-making, continuous evaluation of digital initiatives, and flexibility to iterate on approaches will be key to finding what works best in each institutional context.

In conclusion, framing the university as a digital workplace is a powerful way to understand the depth of change underway in higher education. It spotlights that universities are not only knowledge institutions but also work environments for teaching and learning where digital technologies are embedded in daily practice. This reframing helps stakeholders recognize the need to modernize organizational structures and skill sets, akin to other sectors that have digitally transformed. The transition comes with both opportunities and tensions: it can amplify the reach and effectiveness of higher education, but it can also introduce strains and require new competencies. The contributions of this paper to the ongoing debate are twofold. First, it provides a synthesized understanding of the current state of digital transformation in higher education, grounded in recent literature and policy discourse. Second, it offers insight into managing this transformation – emphasizing strategic alignment, capacity building, and the mitigation of risks related to workforce and culture. As universities continue to navigate this digital era, the ultimate goal should remain clear: to harness technology in ways that enrich the human processes of teaching and discovery, and to prepare graduates for meaningful, empowered participation in a digitally mediated society. By doing so, higher education institutions can truly fulfill their potential in fostering inclusive, adaptive, and skill-oriented learning environments in the 21st century.

References

- Alenezi, M. (2023). Digital learning and digital institution in higher education. *Education Sciences*, 13(1), 88. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13010088>
- Bonderud, D. (2020, March 23). How leaders in higher ed can drive digital workplace adoption. *EdTech Magazine: Higher Education*. <https://edtechmagazine.com/higher/article/2020/03/leading-charge-higher-ed-it-leaders-can-drive-digital-workplace-adoption-perfcon>
- European Commission. (2020). *Digital Education Action Plan 2021–2027: Resetting education and training for the digital age*. Brussels: European Commission. (Communication from the Commission).
- Henderson, M., Selwyn, N., & Aston, R. (2017). What works and why? Student perceptions of ‘useful’ digital technology in university teaching and learning. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(8), 1567–1579. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2015.1007946>
- Fernández, A., Gómez, B., Binjaku, K., & Kajo Meçe, E. (2023). Digital transformation initiatives in higher education institutions: A multivocal literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28, 12351–12382. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11544-0>
- Khaled Gijón, M., Ávalos Ruiz, I., de Rueda Villén, B., & García de Quesada, M. (2025). Selecting and defining transversal competences for higher education training design. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, Article 1533505. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1533505>
- Koch, C., & Fehlmann, F. (2025). Beyond digital literacy: Exploring factors affecting digital performance of university staff. *Media and Communication*, 13(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.17645/mac.8913>
- Micic, L., & Mastilo, Z. (2022). Digital workplace transformation: Innovative approach after Covid-19 pandemic. *Economics (BiH)*, 2022(2), 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2022-0014>
- OECD. (2021). *OECD Digital Education Outlook 2021: Pushing the frontiers with AI, blockchain and robots*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/589b283f-en>
- PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). (2022). *Higher education transformation: Framework for a digitally enabled university* (White paper). PwC Education and Skills Practice.
- Selwyn, N. (2022). The future of AI and education: Some cautionary notes. *European Journal of Education*, 57(4), 620–631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12532>
- Universities UK. (2024). *Lessons from the pandemic: Making the most of technologies in teaching* (Briefing document). London: Universities UK.
- United Nations. (2022). *Report on the 2022 Transforming Education Summit*. New York: United Nations. (Education 2030 Thematic Priorities).